



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,780	04/12/2001	Domingo Figueras Payas	2136/0J098	9468
7.	590 04/22/2004	and the state of t	EXAMINER	
DARBY & D	ARBY P.C.			
805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, IV	10022			
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/835,780	FIGUERAS PAYAS, DOMINGO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jill E. Culler	2854		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>24 March 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.	$\boxtimes$	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	$\boxtimes$	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

It is not clear from the Appeal Brief whether claims 6 and 7 are being appealed. There are no arguments directed to these claims and the Issue section of the Brief does not identify an issue for these claims. Furthermore, the Status of Amendments section is incomplete. It incorrectly identifies that all objections and rejections, with the exception of the prior art rejection, have been overcome. The Office's position with regard to these objections and rejections, which is set forth in the interview summary of October 21, 2003, was not described in the Status of Amendments.

ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

and H-